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Electronic resource environment

- Digital became a standard form of material "overnight"
  - Easy to produce
  - Advantages over traditional forms
  - Volume enormous
  - "adding to the collection" not well understood
  - Preservation requirements are still not clear

- Need shortcut to/from MARC?
- Need more than descriptive metadata?
MARC 21 derivative

- Need simplicity because of large numbers of resources
- Need to use XML to take advantage of new protocols and XML tools
- Need close relationship to MARC 21 important because MARC 21 used worldwide - a billion record resource
MARC 21 derivative

- MODS (Metadata Object Description Standard)
  - XML
  - Simpler and less detail than MARC 21, richer than Dublin Core
  - Electronic material a special focus
  - Primary relationship is to MARC 21, but also enables deriving data from Dublin Core, ONIX, and digital objects themselves
  - Coordinated with emerging data models – METS, FRBR
  - Open development
  - Rich recursion and linking
  - User friendly tags
MARC – MARCXML - MODS

- **MARC**
  - [245] 14$aThe Peru traveller :$ba concise history and guide

- **MARCXML**
  
  <datafield tag="245" ind1="1" ind2="4">
    <subfield code="a">The Peru traveller</subfield>
    <subfield code="b">a concise history and guide</subfield>
  </datafield>

- **MODS**
  
  <titleInfo><nonSort>The</nonSort><title>Peru traveller</title>
  <subTitle>a concise history and guide</subTitle>
  </titleInfo>
Sample MODS application

Cataloging web collections at LC

- Collection level MARC 21 record in the Online catalog
- Individual sites cataloged using MODS and searched on a web site
  - MODS data:
    - Derived from web site and reviewed by cataloger (e.g., title, description)
    - Inserted in all records (e.g., permissions, record numbers)
    - Cataloger supplied (e.g., subject, language)
  - Possible technician input?
  - Possible transformation of MODS record to MARC 21 in the future and load to OPAC
### MARC 21 Record for Collection in OPAC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field</th>
<th>Contents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>2007700187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>050</td>
<td>00 $a DT157.672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>00 $a Crisis in Darfur, Sudan, Web archive, 2006 $h [electronic resource]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>260</td>
<td>## $a Washington, DC :$b Library of Congress $c 2007-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>520</td>
<td>## $a Selective collection of 216 Web sites, archived from March 20, 2006 to Nov. 20, 2006, relating to the humanitarian crisis in Darfur, Sudan. The archived sites are international in scope, and include those related to organizations involved in human rights, refugees, disaster relief, …</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>650</td>
<td>#0 $a Disaster relief $z Sudan $z Darfur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>651</td>
<td>#0 $a Sudan $x Economic conditions $y 1983-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>710</td>
<td>2# $a Library of Congress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>856</td>
<td>40 #u <a href="http://hdl.loc.gov/loc.natlib/collnatlib.00000011">http://hdl.loc.gov/loc.natlib/collnatlib.00000011</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
<titleInfo><title>IntrerAction</title></titleInfo>
<genre>Web site</genre>
<originInfo><dateCaptured point="start" encoding="iso8601">20060302</dateCaptured>
    <dateCaptured point="end" encoding="iso8601">20061128</dateCaptured></originInfo>
<language><languageTerm authority="iso639-2b" type="code">eng</languageTerm></language>
<subject authority="lcsh"><geographic>Sudan</geographic><topic>History</topic><temporal>Darfur Conflict, 2003-</temporal></subject>
<subject authority="lcsh"><topic>Disaster relief</topic></subject>
<relatedItem type="host">
    <titleInfo><title>Crisis in Darfur, Sudan, Web Archive, 2006</title></titleInfo>
    <location><url>http://hdl.loc.gov/loc.natlib/collnatlib.00000011</url></location>
</relatedItem>
<location><url usage="primary display">http://hdl.loc.gov/loc.natlib/mrva0011.0114</url></location>
Sample MODS applications

Digitization projects

- University of Chicago - MODS as data “hub”
- Resources to be digitized
  - May have MARC 21 records in OPAC
  - May have other formats and fullness of records
- Want to preserve granularity where possible for new faceted searching
- Easy to map different formats into MODS
Sample MODS application

Aquifer initiative

- Digital Library Federation project to build a metadata resource of distributed electronic material
- OAI protocol used for file building
- MODS selected for the metadata format
  - Institutions with Dublin Core metadata could enhance to MODS
  - Institutions with MARC 21 data could send data via MODS with little loss
  - Aquifer MODS Guidelines available from MODS web site - http://www.loc.gov/mods
Other metadata needed for electronic resources
Broader metadata

- Descriptive metadata in MARCXML or MODS
- Electronic resources need more than descriptive metadata
  - Technical metadata (technical and structural information)
  - Administrative metadata (information for managing the item)
  - Preservation metadata (information for long-term preservation)
  - Rights metadata (for terms and conditions of use)
Emerging standard - METS

- METS – Metadata Encoding and Transmission Standard
  - XML wrapper for descriptive AND technical, rights, preservation, etc. metadata
  - Enables resource retrieval, object validation, preservation actions, rights management, ...
  - Use to submit a digital item to a repository or for interchange of digital objects
  - Non-proprietary, developed by the library community
  - (relatively) simple; extensible; modular
  - Still need for component standards and profiles of usage
METS architecture
Component standard - PREMIS

- PREMIS – Preservation Metadata Implementation Strategies
  - Evolved from projects of the 1990s
  - Data dictionary of elements for core preservation metadata
  - XML schema also published
  - Work underway to establish best practices for using with METS
  - Provides core preservation metadata – still need media specific standards
Media specific standard - MIX

- MIX – Metadata for Images in XML
  - Technical elements needed to manage digitized image data
  - Used to express attributes of digital images such as file format, file size, dimensions, resolution, compression, etc.
  - Recent version (1.0) includes support for GIS and JPEG 2000
  - Element names harmonized with PREMIS
  - How do these fit together?
# METS resource and metadata bundle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resource Space</th>
<th>METS Information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audio File (WAV, etc.)</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Video File (MPEG, etc.)</td>
<td>Technical Rights</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text File (TEI, etc.)</td>
<td>Rights (METSRights, etc.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image File (TIFF, etc.)</td>
<td>Preservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web File</td>
<td>Structure Map File Section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MODS MARCXML MIX (image) PREMIS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Library of Congress
And also -

Repository built from METS bundles

SRU protocol

End users and machines as users
Gracias!

- Web sites for these standards:
  - [www.loc.gov/METS](http://www.loc.gov/METS)
  - [www.loc.gov/mix](http://www.loc.gov/mix)
  - [www.loc.gov/premis](http://www.loc.gov/premis)
  - [www.loc.gov/sru](http://www.loc.gov/sru)